

California Center for Population Research University of California - Los Angeles

An Evaluation of the One Percent Clustered Sample of the 1990 Census of China

William M. Mason William Lavely

CCPR-015-01

October 2001

California Center for Population Research On-Line Working Paper Series

An Evaluation of the One Percent Clustered Sample of the 1990 Census of China*

October 11, 2001

Version 1.1

William M. Mason Sociology Department and California Center for Population Research University of California—Los Angeles masonwm@ucla.edu

William Lavely Sociology Department and Center for Studies in Demography and Ecology University of Washington lavely@u.washington.edu

*We gratefully acknowledge the valuable advice of Griffith Feeney, the generous assistance of G. William Skinner, and the support of the Henry Luce Foundation.

Page 1 of 11

Introduction

No official public use micro-sample of the 1990 Chinese census has yet been released. There are, however, two extant micro-samples of the census that have circulated within China and abroad. The first, in order of creation, is a one percent sample of administrative villages. The second is a one percent sample of households. We refer to the former, the subject of this article, as the "one percent clustered sample," and refer to the latter as the "one percent household sample." The State Statistical Bureau of China has provided the one percent household sample to several researchers, but to our knowledge has never released the corresponding mortality data. Provision of the one percent clustered sample has been on an informal basis, generally without mortality data. We obtained the clustered sample and the corresponding mortality data separately, from sources that prefer to remain anonymous.

There is no State Statistical Bureau printed documentation that is specific to the one percent clustered sample. Of particular value would be a description and evaluation of the method and procedures used to draw the sample. This paper evaluates the one percent clustered sample, using direct inspection as well as a series of comparisons with published data drawn from the complete enumeration. We first discuss the nature of the clustering, and report what we know about the sampling of clusters. We then note the existence of duplicate cases, and report corrected totals when duplicates are dropped. Subsequent sections describe geographic coverage of the one percent clustered sample, compare sample to published 100 percent tabulations for basic descriptors, and report selected comparisons between sample and 100 percent enumeration data at the county level.

Page 2 of 11

Clustering

Lacking any documentation on the method used in sampling, our description of the clustered sample relies on inference and a bit of hearsay. We have been told that the clustered sample was drawn as a way to provide a timely preview of census results, before final tabulations based on the complete enumeration could be prepared. We have also been led to believe that the sample is a systematic selection of all of the living persons in every hundredth administrative village (or urban street committee) within each province, and of all deaths in the sampled places that occurred in the 18 months leading up to the official July 1, 1990 date of the census. We are unable to verify these claims. Although the State Statistical Bureau collected information on persons in the People's Liberation Army, the clustered sample consists of civilians only.

The administrative village and street committees lend themselves as sampling units because they also serve as census districts, and census returns are sent up the line bundled by village or committee. Sampling and data entry presumably took place in provincial offices. These circumstances may explain some anomalous aspects of the sample.

Duplicates

Duplicate cases are one such anomaly. Duplicates appeared in approximately half the provinces, and in all cases entire sample villages were duplicated. We considered the possibility that the duplicates were inserted intentionally, perhaps as a weighting scheme. But because the sample is far more faithful to the 100 percent tabulations (Population Census Office 1993) when the duplicates are omitted, it appears more likely that the

Page 3 of 11

duplicates resulted from errors in data processing. In their discussion of the one percent clustered sample Li and Zhu (2000:228) also conclude that the duplicates are due to processing errors. We have removed the duplicates from all computations reported in this paper.

Without duplicate records the sample consists of 8,518 administrative villages or street committees containing 11,475,104 enumerated persons, which averages to 1,347 persons per sample unit. In addition there are 99,196 records of persons who died in the 18 months prior to the census.

Geographic Coverage

The clustered sample contains data in all 30 provinces and regions covered by the 1990 census. We consider first the extent to which the clustered sample reproduces the distribution of population across provinces and the major cities with provincial status in 1990 (Beijing, Tianjin, and Shanghai). Table 1 shows the percent distribution of population by province in the census, and the ratio of the sample to census percent in each province. The ratio varies from a low of .81 in Ningxia to a high of 1.28 in Tianjin Municipality, two provincial level units with small populations. Because the sample units are clusters, the sampling variability tends to be greater than one would expect for a simple random sample of individuals, and the extreme ratios occur in provinces with very small proportions of the population. The ratio in larger provinces generally varies between .95 and 1.05.

Table 1 here

Page 4 of 11

There is also broad geographic coverage within provinces. Approximately 91 percent of China's county-level 2,845 units contain at least one sample village or street committee. Among the 2,600 county-level units with coverage, there is an average of 3.2 sample units per county. Sample coverage is shown in the accompanying map of China's counties (Figure 1). Counties containing at least one village unit in the sample are shown in gray or black, while counties with no coverage are in white. Coverage is quite regular in China Proper and Manchuria, as contrasted with the sparser coverage in the Inner Asian regions of Inner Mongolia, Xinjiang, and Tibet, and parts of Gansu and Qinghai provinces. As may be seen in Table 1, none of these provinces is under-sampled. The sparseness of populations in these areas appears to explain the lack of coverage. Tibet, however, is an exception.

Figure 1 here [map]

In Tibet the sample excludes Lhasa, falls in only 11 of 78 county-level units, and is distributed in a peculiar way. The Tibet sample consists of 23 villages, 13 of which are in a single county, Dingri, the site of Zhumulongma (Mount Everest). The 10 other sample villages all bear the village code "1," which suggests that they were not selected at random. Although the 100 percent census tabulations indicate that Tibet is 88 percent rural, the clustered sample is 100 percent rural. The Tibet sample thus appears to have been constructed according to different principles from the rest of the sample, and should not be considered representative of all Tibet.

Page 5 of 11

National Comparisons of Sample and Census

A series of comparisons at the national level (Tables 2-3 and Figures 2-3) reveals a reasonable concordance between the sample and the underlying complete census data as derived from published tabulations (Population Census Office 1993). When the total of persons in the sample is multiplied by the reciprocal of the sampling fraction (i.e., 100) and divided by the census total, the resultant ratio is 1.02. The one percent clustered sample thus overstates the census population by two percent (see Table 2). Births are similarly overstated, while the death sample (after an adjustment to account for excluded counties, discussed in the next section) understates deaths by .4 percent.

Table 2 here

Having established a fair concordance between census and sample for total population, births, and deaths, we now consider the concordance of distributions of populations across various categories listed in Table 2. Most measures, such as percent male, percent rural, the sex ratio at birth, and deaths by semester, are within two percent of the census value. The distributions of population by occupation and by marital status are similarly close. There are two exceptions. The sample over-states the percent university by 10 percent, perhaps as a consequence of the over-sample of the provincial level cities Shanghai and Tianjin that can be observed in Table 1. There is also an over-sampling of births in 1990 relative to 1989, for which we have no explanation.

Figure 2 presents a sample to census comparison of the sex-specific age distributions of those alive at the time of enumeration. The sample distribution of females by age is quite close to that for the census, varying within .5 percent at every age below 80. The male sample distribution is less regular. It contains an excess of males at

Page 6 of 11

ages 20-29, and a deficit of males age 60-75. Even so, these deviations are within one percent of the census value. The greater variability of males may be due to the greater concentration of males in sparse collective households and related institutional concentrations.

Figure 2 here

Figure 3, based on those who died in the 18-month period between January 1, 1989 and June 30,1990, is constructed identically to Figure 1, but is based on age at death. The deviations of sample from census are more dramatic for the deceased. There is a notable dearth of dead males at ages 5-14—approximately 8 percent fewer than the corresponding census percentage. There is a similar dearth of females at ages 25-34. There are too many sample male death cases at ages 35-39, and too few sample female death cases at ages 45-49, approximately 10 percent fewer than expected. We have no explanation for these irregularities other than sampling variation.

Figure 3 here

Because infant mortality is of particular interest, in Table 3 we further compare deaths at age 0 conditional on sex and semester of birth with the corresponding figures from published census tabulations. Official sources do not document the calculation of infant mortality *rates* with detail sufficient to sustain independent replication. For this reason our analysis is restricted to comparison of sample *frequencies* of death with those derived from the 100 percent enumeration tables (Population Census Office 1993). The interior cells of Table 3 display ratios of sample deaths to complete enumeration deaths conditional on sex and semester of birth. The row and column margins contain ratios separately for sex and semester. Because of gaps in death coverage at the county level

10/14/2001 11:35 PM

Page 7 of 11

(detectable in Figure 1), which are discussed in the next section, the "total" ratio is less than one (.960), which suggests that the clustered sample undercounts infant deaths. However, upon adjusting the total ratio for coverage gaps under the assumption that infant deaths were missed with probability identical to that for deaths to older individuals, the total ratio becomes .996. This result suggests that infant deaths are not specifically undersampled in the one percent clustered sample. The sex-semester specific ratios in Table 3 should thus be considered to be downwardly biased owing to the absence of adjustment for nonreporting of deaths in particular counties. Of greater concern is the apparent undersampling of male relative to female infant deaths in every semester, as well as the inconsistency over semesters in the relative undersampling.¹ We have no explanation for this variability, but note that at a minimum it complicates the conclusions that can be drawn from individual level analyses of infant mortality.

Table 3 here

Comparisons with County-level Data

For total population and for infant deaths we carried out sample to census comparisons at the county level. If the sample data are unbiased at the county level, a regression at this level of sample data on census data for an identically defined variable should yield a coefficient of .01. For total population we found a slope of .0096 (N=2,312). Fitting a cubic polynomial spline to the data revealed modest departures from linearity. If a handful of counties is excluded, the regression coefficient becomes .01.

¹ We employed Poisson and negative binomial models, and checked for over dispersion, to reach these conclusions. The sex effect is significant at the .1 level, and of the semester contrasts, only that between the first and third semester is significant at the .05 level.

Page 8 of 11

The sample to census comparison of infant deaths per county is limited to 1,357 counties for which 1990 county (complete enumeration) census reports on infant mortality are available.² Although this subsample of counties may be biased, that possibility should not affect the sample-census relationship. At the county level, for sample infant deaths regressed on complete enumeration infant deaths the slope is .0089. There appears to be under-sampling in counties with higher numbers of infant deaths.

Our examination of the clustered sample death data detected a problem. Of the 2,600 county-level units in the clustered sample, 97 contain no death data. For a subset of these 97 counties, the absence of deaths is probably due to procedures followed at the local level, rather than to the inherent variability occasioned by probability sampling. In three contiguous prefectures in Henan (Shangqiu, Zhoukou, and Zhumadian), and two contiguous prefectures in Sichuan (Wanxian and Fuling), there is no mortality data. These five prefectures account for 43 of the 97 county-level units for which there are no deaths. The county-level sample sizes in these prefectures range from a minimum of 1,600 to a maximum of 15,441. Given the size and contiguity of the areas, it is clear that the lack of mortality data in these counties is due to some aspect of procedure and not sampling variability. These five prefectures should be excluded from any analysis of mortality.

The remaining 54 zero-death county-level units are geographically scattered, although many pertain to urban units in Heilongjiang and Anhui. Because it is at least theoretically possible that the sampled villages in fact recorded no mortality in the 18 months prior to the census, we took a statistical approach to the problem of including

² County census totals of numbers of infant deaths were compiled from 1990 county census volumes in the collection of the University of Washington East Asia Library.

Page 9 of 11

zero-death counties. The procedure involved generating two series of county-based logodds of death—one based on 100 percent census data, the other on the corresponding sample log odds for these counties with at least one death. We regressed the sample logits of death on the census-based logits. Zero-death counties were then supplemented with a single death (so that a log-odds could be estimated), and included in a second regression. Zero-death counties with imputed odds of death more than two standard deviations from the predicted value were marked for exclusion from mortality analysis. This led to the exclusion of an additional 45 counties, while retaining 9 out of the original 97 zero death counties.³ The counties so marked for exclusion, portrayed in the map (Figure 1) with black shading, contain 3.8 percent of census deaths.⁴ Excluded counties are listed in Appendix 1.

Discussion

The one percent clustered sample appears to be a true one percent sample of the 1990 census. It reproduces the geographic distribution of population and major population components quite well. Although the clustering of the sample reduces precision, it offers support for contextual analyses based on multilevel methods of statistical analysis (Mason 2001). There are certain anomalies in the sample. The Tibetan sample omits Lhasa and in other ways appears to depart from randomness. The distribution of deaths by age for males is irregular, and male infant mortality is somewhat

³ The logit regression based on counties with at least one death, and the corresponding regression in which zero-death counties are included with small imputed values, are each consistent with the hypothesis that the line has a slope of .01 and intercept of zero.

⁴In Table 2, for the ratio of sample to census deaths, sample deaths are increased by 3.8 percent prior to calculation of the ratio.

Page 10 of 11

under-sampled relative to the census. These deficiencies must be set against the larger context of census accuracy. There is mounting evidence (e.g., Ministry of Health 1999) that the 1990 census underreported infant mortality by a margin far wider than the gap between sample and census infant mortality. Against this general uncertainty, the sample can be serviceable. It is, moreover, the only available micro-sample of the 1990 Chinese Census.

References

- Li Shuzhuo and Zhu Chuzhu. 2000. *Research and Community Practice on Gender Difference in Child Survival in China*. Beijing: China Population Publishing House.
- Mason, William M. In press. Neil J. Smelser and Paul B. Baltes (eds.) International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science.
- Ministry of Health, Peoples Republic of China. 1999. Zhongguo weisheng tongji tiyao 1999 (Chinese Health Statistical Digest 1999). Beijing: Health Press.
- Population Census Office under the State Council, and Department of Population
 Statistics, State Statistical Bureau, People's Republic of China. 1993. Tabulation
 on the 1990 Population Census of the People's Republic of China. Beijing:
 China Statistical Publishing House. Four volumes.

		Ratio of Sample
Province	Percent of Population	to Census
Beijing	0.96	1.03
Tianjin	0.78	1.29
Hebei	5.40	0.96
Shanxi	2.54	1.03
Inner Mongolia	1.90	1.02
Liaoning	3.49	1.00
Jilin	2.18	1.07
Heilongjiang	3.12	1.00
Shanghai	1.18	1.14
Jiangsu	5.93	0.99
Zhejiang	3.67	0.99
Anhui	4.97	0.95
Fujian	2.66	1.11
Jiangxi	3.34	1.04
Shandong	7.46	0.97
Henan	7.57	0.96
Hubei	4.77	1.03
Hunan	5.37	1.02
Guangdong	5.56	0.98
Guangxi	3.74	1.03
Hainan	0.58	1.09
Sichuan	9.48	0.96
Guizhou	2.87	0.95
Yunnan	3.27	0.99
Tibet	0.19	1.09
Shaanxi	2.91	1.01
Gansu	1.98	1.06
Qinghai	0.39	1.27
Ningxia	0.41	0.82
Xinjiang	1.34	0.98
Total	100.01%	1.015

Table 1. Comparison of the Provincial Distribution of Population in the 1%Clustered Sample to that in 100% Census Tabulations, China, 1990

Sources: Population Census Office of China (1993) and one percent clustered sample.

		Ratio of Sample
Item	100% Census Value	to Census
Total population	1 130 510 638	1 02
Births (enumerated)	35 110 945	1.02
Deaths	10 328 800	1.01 ^a
Dealis	10,320,099	1.00
Population % male	51.47	1.00
Population % rural	73.80	0.99
Population % in collective households	2.89	1.02
Population % non-Han	8.08	1.00
Sex ratio of births (enumerated)	111 45	1 00
Sex ratio of births (mother reports)	114 18	1 00
		1.00
Births by semester ^b		
Births 1989 first half %	32.25	0.98
Births 1989 second half %	37.88	0.98
Births 1990 first half %	29.87	1.04
Total	100.00	
Deaths total by semester ^b		
Deaths 1080 first half %	31 76	0 00
Deaths 1989 second half %	31.87	0.99
Deaths 1990 first half %	36.36	1 02
Total	99.99	
Educational Level ^⁰		
University %	0.62	1.10
l echnical college %	0.97	1.04
Vocational high school %	1.74	0.97
Upper middle school %	7.30	1.01
Drimary school %	20.50	1.00
Fillidiy School %	42.27	1.00
Total	100.01	1.00
1 otal	100.01	
Occupation ^b		
Professional and Technical %	5.32	1.02
Cadres %	1.75	1.02
Administrative staff %	1.74	0.98
Commercial workers %	3.01	1.01
Service workers %	2.40	1.02
Agricultural workers %	70.61	0.99
Production workers %	15.17	1.02
I OTAI	100.00	Operations of a second second
		Continued on next page

Table 2. Comparison of National Statistics Derived from the 1% Clustered Sample toCorresponding Statistics Derived from Complete Enumeration Tabulations of the 1990Chinese Census

Table 2. Continued.

Marital Status ^b		
Unmarried %	25.13	1.00
Married %	68.18	1.00
Widowed %	6.10	1.00
Divorced %	0.59	1.00
Total	100.00	

Sources: Population Census Office of China (1993) and one percent clustered sample.

^aSample deaths are adjusted; see text for explanation. ^bPercentage distribution sums to 100 percent, with deviations due to rounding.

Semester	Male	Female	Total
1989: January-June	0.911	0.946	0.928
	(120,406)	(118,893)	(239,299)
1989: July-December	0.959	0.962	0.961
	(142,015)	(145,593)	(287,608)
1990: January-June	0.944	1.02	0.981
	(177,418)	(185,177)	(362,595)
Total	0.941	0.980	0.960
	(439,839)	(449,663)	(889,502)

Table 3. One Percent Cluster Sample Deaths at Age 0 (Multiplied by 100) Divided by Corresponding 100% Enumeration Deaths, Conditional on Sex and Semester of Death, China, 1990^a

Sources: Population Census Office of China (1993) and one percent clustered sample. Note: Numbers in parentheses are death counts from 100 percent enumeration ^aSample values are unadjusted for lack of death coverage in certain counties; see text for discussion.

GB Code	Province	Name
150103	Inner Mongolia	Huhehaote: Huimin qu
150122	Inner Mongolia	Tuoketuo xian
150402	Inner Mongolia	Chifeng: Hongshan qu
210802	Liaoning	Yingkou: Zhanqian qu
210803	Liaoning	Yingkou: Xishi qu
210811	Liaoning	Yingkou: Laobian qu
230402	Heilongjiang	Hegang: Xiangyang qu
230403	Heilongjiang	Hegang: Gongnong qu
230702	Heilongjiang	Yichun: Yichun qu
230705	Heilongjiang	Yichun: Xilin qu
230811	Heilongjiang	Jiamusi: Jiaoqu
230826	Heilongjiang	Huachuan xian
230834	Heilongjiang	Youyi xian
230881	Heilongjiang	Tongjiang shi
232603	Heilongjiang	Wudalianchi shi
320703	Jiangsu	Lianyungang: Lianyun qu
320704	Jiangsu	Lianyungang: Yuntai qu
320705	Jiangsu	Lianyungang: Xinpu qu
330921	Zhejiang	Daishan xian
340302	Anhui	Bengbu: Dong qu
340304	Anhui	Bengbu: Xi qu
340404	Anhui	Huainan: Xiejiaji qu
340503	Anhui	Ma`anshan: Huashan qu
340702	Anhui	Tongling: Tonggongshan qu
340803	Anhui	Anqing: Daguan qu
341002	Anhui	Huangshan shi CC: Tunxi qu
341003	Anhui	Huangshan: Huangshan qu
341004	Anhui	Huangshan: Huizhou qu
341023	Anhui	Yi xian
342101	Anhui	Fuyang shi
342530	Anhui	Jingde xian
350203	Fujian	Xiamen: Siming qu
360302	Jiangxi	Pingxiang: Chengguan qu
360311	Jiangxi	Pingxiang: Shangli qu
362124	Jiangxi	Dayu xian
362129	Jiangxi	Dingnan xian
410411	Henan	Pingdingshan: Jiaoqu
412321	Henan	Yucheng xian
412322	Henan	Shangqiu xian
412323	Henan	Minquan xian
412324	Henan	Ningling xian

Appendix 1.	Zero Death	Counties	Selected fo	r Exclusion	from	Mortality	Analysis	in the On	e Percent
Clustered Sa	mple, China	a, 1990							

Continued

Appendix 1, continued—p. 2

412325	Henan	Sui xian		
412326	Henan	Xiayi xian		
412327	Henan	Zhecheng xian		
412328	Henan	Yongcheng xian		
412701	Henan	Zhoukou shi		
412721	Henan	Fugou xian		
412722	Henan	Xihua xian		
412723	Henan	Shangshui xian		
412724	Henan	Taikang xian		
412725	Henan	Luyi xian		
412726	Henan	Dancheng xian		
412727	Henan	Huaiyang xian		
412728	Henan	Shenqiu xian		
412729	Henan	Xiangcheng xian		
412801	Henan	Zhumadian shi		
412821	Henan	Queshan xian		
412822	Henan	Biyang xian		
412823	Henan	Suiping xian		
412824	Henan	Xiping xian		
412825	Henan	Shangcai xian		
412826	Henan	Ru`nan xian		
412827	Henan	Pingyu xian		
412828	Henan	Xincai xian		
412829	Henan	Zhengyang xian		
450502	Guangxi	Beihai: Haicheng qu		
512201	Sichuan	Wanxian shi		
512221	Sichuan	Wan xian		
512222	Sichuan	Kai xian		
512223	Sichuan	Zhong xian		
512224	Sichuan	Liangping xian		
512225	Sichuan	Yunyang xian		
512226	Sichuan	Fengjie xian		
512227	Sichuan	Wushan xian		
512228	Sichuan	Wuxi xian		
512229	Sichuan	Chengkou xian		
512301	Sichuan	Fuling shi		
512322	Sichuan	Dianjiang xian		
512323	Sichuan	Nanchuan xian		
512324	Sichuan	Fengdu xian		
512326	Sichuan	Wulong xian		
513227	Sichuan	Xiaojin xian		
533121	Yunnan	Luxi xian		
610303	Shaanxi	Baoji: Jintai qu		
620105	Gansu	Lanzhou: Anning qu		
640121	Ningxia	Yongning xian		

Continued

Appendix 1, continued—p. 3

654225	Xinjiang	Yumin xian
654226	Xinjiang	Hebukesai`er Mengguzu zizhixian





Figure 2. Ratio of Sample to Census, Live Population, China, 1990.

Sources: Population Census Office of China (1993) and one percent clustered sample.



Figure 3. Ratio of Sample to Census, Dead Population, China, 1990.

Sources: Population Census Office of China (1993) and one percent clustered sample.